APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 22-Aug-2008

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Albuquerque District, SPA-2008-00358-ABQ-JD1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: NM - New Mexico County/parish/borough: San Miguel City: Sheridan Lat: 35.5080888017895 Long: -105.25339110819 Universal Transverse Mercator: 13N Arroyo Manga Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Pecos River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 130600010304

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

22-Aug-2008 Office Determination Date:

Field Determination Date

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1 Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:1

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: (m²) Linear: (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on: []

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1.TNW

Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

28753 Watershed size: acres 28753 Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: 16.53 inches

Average annual snowfall: 26.9 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are 25-30 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Arroyo La Manga ephemeral reach to the Arroyo La Manga perennial reach (RPW) to Tecolote Creek to the Pecos River

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
-	SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	Х	-	-	-	-

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	10	.5	4:1 (or greater)

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name		Sands		Cobble		Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

	Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
S	PA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	Stream banks are stable due to 4:1 side slopes with vegetative cover. Little scour or bank erosion is observed immediately up- or downstream of site.	No runs/riffles or pool complexes are present within the project reach.	Meandering	3.2

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	Seasonal flow	6-10	Ephemeral flow regime.	Flows are flashy during monsoon season. Grade is relatively low within the project area. Vegetation matting and rounded cobbles are indicative of high velocity flows.

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	Discrete and confined	Ephemeral flows. Shallow gradient within project area. Vegetation matting and rounding of cobbles are indicative of high velocity flows within project area.

Subsurface Flow

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	-	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks		Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	X	X	-	-

Tributaries with OHWM6 - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	OHWM	Clear	Litter	Changes	Destruction	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent	Sediment	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment	Flow Events	Water	Changes	Other
				in Soil	Vegetation			Vegetation	Sorting			Deposition		Staining	Plant	
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts Ephemeral drainage. Flows are flashy during the monsoon season. Photographs indicate high turbidity during flow events. Nitrates and sulfates from agricultural activities. Potential petrochemical fuels and lubricants from vehicle leaks and spills on the interstate highway, county roadways and the railroad line.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	-	-	-	-	-

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable

Surface flow is: Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow-Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable

(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis

Not Applicable

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Findings for: SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts

The site is located within an ephemeral reach of the Arroyo La Manga. Flows are present during storm events. Site photographs after recent rains indicate relatively high velocity flows and overbanking. Surface flows were visible in site photographs. In the photos, the surface waters showed significant turbidity from recent runoff. The stream is crossed by I-25 highway, County Road 25A and a railroad line. Spills of petrochemical fuels and lubricants would enter the perennial surface waters of the Arroyo La Manga and Tecolote Creek downstream of the site. The channel contains and OHWM and bed consisting of sands and cobbles The material shows evidence of rounding which indicates the material has a relatively high velocity flow and contributes to significant hydrology to Tecolote Creek, which flows into the Pecos River (TNW). Nitrates and sulfates are known residual contaminants from agricultural land use. The floodplain along the stream is used for agriculture. The ephemeral flows appear to be capable of contributing more than minimal contaminants to a TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/ WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Tributary Name		Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
SPA-2008-00358, CR 25A Culverts	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	121.40568
Total:		0	121.40568

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Not Applicable

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference leads to the control of the

(listed items shall be included in case life and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below).				
Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description		
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Parametrix	topo map, aerial photo and site photographs		
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps	US Geological Survey	HUC12 Map		
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	US Geological Survey	Ojitos Frios, NM Quadrangle, 7.5 minute scale		
Photographs	-	-		
Aerial	US Geological Survey	Sheridan, NM, 2005/2006 DOQQs		
Other	Parametrix	Site photographs and one aerial photograph		

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

8-See Footnote #

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³⁻Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷⁻Ibid.

⁹ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.